



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	_ Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_28200
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Antimicrobial Activity of Bioactive Methylated Flavanone from Methanol Stem-Bark extract of Nauclea diderichii (Rubiaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title should be more relevant A clear-cut and concise introduction References are too old; only one reference is in 2012. There is no reference for zone of inhibition test Table formatting Crude extracts can be abbreviated (for better readability) Relevant and only one paragraph conclusion should be more appropriate Appropriate reference formatting is crucial Ethical Issues: Selection of test concentration; strain numbers.	Title has been rephrased for clarity and relevance. Introduction has also been worked on. Our intention was referencing the original works, however, some recent references have been added and a reference has been included for zones of inhibitions. Yes, only one paragraph is the conclusion the other is the recommendation, Not all the strains had numbers, the reason why we did not want to number some and leave the others un-numbered.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Are plugged in the main text Level of English needs to be improved	Thanks for the corrections, they have been effected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As per journal's requirements.	Many more things have corrected than pointed out in the manuscript.